

**Souvenir W: The Severity Interpretation of Negative Results (SIN) for Test T+**

Applying our general abbreviation:  $SEV(\text{test } T+, \text{ outcome } \mathbf{x}, \text{ inference } H)$ , we get “the severity with which  $\mu \leq \mu_1$  passes test T+, with data  $\mathbf{x}_0$ ”:

$$SEV(T+, d(\mathbf{x}_0), \mu \leq \mu_1),$$

where  $\mu_1 = (\mu_0 + \gamma)$ , for some  $\gamma \geq 0$ . If it’s clear which test we’re discussing, we use our abbreviation:  $SEV(\mu \leq \mu_1)$ . We obtain a companion to the severity interpretation of rejection (SIR), Section 4.4, Souvenir R:

*SIN (Severity Interpretation for Negative Results)*

- (a) If there is a very *low* probability that  $d(\mathbf{x}_0)$  would have been larger than it is, even if  $\mu > \mu_1$ , then  $\mu \leq \mu_1$  passes with *low* severity:  $SEV(\mu \leq \mu_1)$  is low.
- (b) If there is a very *high* probability that  $d(\mathbf{x}_0)$  would have been larger than it is, were  $\mu > \mu_1$ , then  $\mu \leq \mu_1$  passes the test with *high* severity:  $SEV(\mu \leq \mu_1)$  is high.

To break it down, in the case of a statistically insignificant result:

$$SEV(\mu \leq \mu_1) = \Pr(d(\mathbf{X}) > d(\mathbf{x}_0); \mu \leq \mu_1 \text{ false}).$$

We look at  $\{d(\mathbf{X}) > d(\mathbf{x}_0)\}$  because severity directs us to consider a “worse fit” with the claim of interest. That  $\mu \leq \mu_1$  is false within our model means that  $\mu > \mu_1$ . Thus:

$$\text{SEV}(\mu \leq \mu_1) = \Pr(d(\mathbf{X}) > d(\mathbf{x}_0); \mu > \mu_1).$$

Now  $\mu > \mu_1$  is a composite hypothesis, containing all the values in excess of  $\mu_1$ . How can we compute it? As with power calculations, we evaluate severity at a point  $\mu_1 = (\mu_0 + \gamma)$ , for some  $\gamma \geq 0$ , because for values  $\mu \geq \mu_1$  the severity increases. So we need only to compute

$$\text{SEV}(\mu \leq \mu_1) > \Pr(d(\mathbf{X}) > d(\mathbf{x}_0); \mu = \mu_1).$$

To compute SEV we compute  $\Pr(d(\mathbf{X}) > d(\mathbf{x}_0); \mu = \mu_1)$  for any  $\mu_1$  of interest. Swapping out the claims of interest (in significant and insignificant results), gives us a single criterion of a good test, severity.